

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this

1 2 Court should not enter Final Judgment as to Nichicon Corporation and Nichicon (America) 3 Corporation (collectively, "Nichicon"). The Court, having reviewed (1) the Settlement 4 Agreement between Plaintiffs Michael Brooks, CAE Sound, Steve Wong, Toy-Knowlogy Inc., 5 AGS Devices, Ltd., J&O Electronics, Nebraska Dynamics, Inc., Angstrom, Inc., MakersLED, and In Home Tech Solutions, Inc., individually and on behalf of the Indirect Purchaser Class 6 7 they seek to represent, on the one hand, and Nichicon, on the other hand, dated September 27, 8 2018 ("Settlement Agreement"), (2) Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of 9 Settlements with ELNA, Matsuo, Nichicon, and Panasonic, (3) the pleadings and other papers on file in this Action, and (4) the statements of counsel and the parties, finds no just reason to delay 11 the entry of Final Judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 54(b). Accordingly, 12 the Court directs entry of Judgment, which shall constitute a final adjudication of the above-

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Good cause appearing therefore:

Settlement Agreement.

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, AJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, the actions within this litigation, and the parties to the Settlement Agreements, including all members of the Settlement Class.

captioned action ("Action") on the merits as to Nichicon in accordance with the terms of the

2. For purposes of this Judgment, except as otherwise set forth herein, the Court adopts and incorporates the definitions contained in the Settlement Agreement as though they were fully set forth in this Final Judgment. Specifically, "Class," as defined in the Settlement Agreement, means:

All persons and entities in the United States who, during the period from April 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Electrolytic Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates, any co-conspirators, Defendants' attorneys in this case, federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions, all judges assigned to this case, all jurors in this case, and all persons and entities who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants.

27

28

3. Those persons and entities identified in the list attached hereto as **Exhibit A** are validly excluded from the Class. Such persons and entities are not included in or bound by this Judgment. Such persons and entities are not entitled to any recovery of the settlement proceeds obtained in connection with the Settlement Agreement.

- 4. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice IPPs' claims against Nichicon, with each party to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees, except as provided in the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. All persons and entities who are Releasors under the terms of the Settlement Agreement are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either directly or indirectly, any claim against the Releasees, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, in this or any other jurisdiction arising out of, or related to, any of the Released Claims.
- 6. The Releasees are hereby and forever released from all Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over:
  - a. implementation of these settlements and any distribution to members of the
    Settlement Class pursuant to further orders of this Court;
  - b. disposition of the Settlement Fund;
  - c. determining attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, interest and Class Representative incentive awards;
  - d. the Action until Final Judgment contemplated hereby has become effective;
  - e. hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of settlement proceeds; and
  - f. all parties to the Action and Releasing Parties, for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Settlement Agreement and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or executed in connection with, the Agreement.
- 8. This document constitutes a final judgment and separate documents for purposes of Rule 58(a).

## Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Document 1349 Filed 07/17/20 Page 4 of 5

9. The Court finds that, pursuant to Rule 54(a) and (b), Final Judgment should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of Final Judgment, as to the parties to the Settlement Agreements. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Final Judgment. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 17, 2020 **JAMES** OTANO

United states District Judge

1

2

## EXHIBIT A

## Requests for Exclusion from Nichicon Settlement

3

4 5

67

8 9

10

1112

13 14

15

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

**Exclusion Request Timely Name** Jeanne Pogorzelski Yes Yes Bruce Young Rick Smith Yes Jonathan C. Neisch Yes Yes Erik M. Sauber Donald G. Becker Yes William B. Higinbotham Yes Mike Svela Yes Perry Jennings Yes Larry Kiser Yes Ed Polakoff Yes Michael Gillette Yes AssetGenie, Inc dba AGiRepair Yes Dell Inc on behalf of itself and its wholly-owned Yes subsidiaries Eleanor Mae Wolf Yes Jeff Hoffman Yes Greg Bower Yes Michael DeSoto Yes Pyramid One, Inc Yes DeSoto Labs, Inc. Yes Stanley W Vikla Yes James M. Tylman, Sr. Yes Bourgeois & Associates, Inc Yes Burge Trucking Yes Group Spectral Yes Jacob Swary Yes Tech 22 Yes Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of Yes America, Division of Panasonic Corporation of North America